

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ANTHONY O. SIMPSON,

No. CIV S-10-3052-CMK-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 GABRIEL S. BORGES, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
18 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. For cases such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the
19 federal venue statute requires that the action be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any
20 defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a
21 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part
22 of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any
23 defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28
24 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

25 Here, plaintiff is challenging medical treatment that was rendered, for the most
26 part, at Queen of the Valley Hospital in Napa, California. Therefore, a substantial part of the

1 events giving rise to plaintiff's claim(s) arose in Napa County, which is within the boundaries of
2 the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Therefore, the court finds
3 that this action most appropriately proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the court
4 will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
6 United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

7
8
9 DATED: January 21, 2011

10 
11 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26